

UNITED STATE' ÉPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tracinark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 09/014,087

	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTY, DOCKET NO.
	09/014,	087 01/2	7/98 CARLYLE ·	M	07001/065001
					EXAMINER 12-109
		•	QM31/0330		710.10
		DARDI, PH. I). « KELLY, P.A.	AHTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SUITE 16	SOO-INTERNA	ATIONAL CENTRE		4 .
ت.		OND AVENUE		3738	,
	MINNEAP	OLIS MN 554	105-3313 WE G F I A FIM	DATE MAILED:	03/30/99
			APR - 5 1999 NA		03/30/33
	This is a communication for	rom the examiner in	ועט וווו		,
:	COMMISSIONER OF PAT	TENTS AND TRADE	MARKS By		
			OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	DOCKETE	
	•		OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	CALENDAI	RED
	Responsive to commun	nication(s) filed on		CHECKED	BY ATTY U- Y
٦	This action is FINAL.				
- -			•		•
_	accordance with the pra	s in condition for all actice under <i>Ex pa</i>	owance except for formal matters, prosecution rie Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	n as to the merits is	closed in
e l	**			. •	
n uc	allever is longer, from th	e mailing date of th	his action is set to expire <u>thirty</u> day	à paried for recover	dt
10	application to become a 16(a).	bantioned. (35 U.	S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained	ed under the provision	ns of 37 CFR
	, ,				
ısı	position of Claims		•		
₹	Claim(s)	-20	<u> </u>	is/are pendi	ng in the application.
្	Of the above, claim(s)				from consideration.
-					is/are allowed.
1	Ciaimisi				_is/are rejected. /are objected to.
D	Claim(s)	O			election requirement.
pp	lication Papers			. (•
378	Can the - March - date of		_		
	See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on	of Draftsperson's	Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.		•
	The proposed drawing of	correction, filed on	s/are objected to	by the Examiner.	disapproved.
] '	The specification is obje	cted to by the Exa	miner.	approved	disapproved.
]	The oath or declaration	is objected to by the	e Examiner.	•	
rloi	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119			λ
1 .	Acknowledgment is mad	te of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		•
_					
_	All Some*	None of the CE	RTIFIED copies of the priority documents have	been	
[received.		•		•
į	received in Applicat	ion No. (Series Co	de/Serial Number)	·	
L			ion from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17	'.2(a)).	
*C	certified copies not recei	ved:			·
1	Acknowledgment is mad	ie of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
	:hment(s)				
			·		
: N	Notice of Reference Cite	M DTC.902			
t	·	M, F10-032			
	mormation Disclosure S		1449, Paper No(s).		
l	mormation Disclosure S nterview Summary, PTC	tatement(s), PTO-	1449, Paper No(s)		
	nterview Summary, PTC	tatement(s), PTO-			
, ,		statement(s), PTO- 0-413 Patent Drawing Re	view, PTO-948	•	

Application/Control Number: 09/014,087

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, 14, and 15, drawn to a prosthesis, classified in class 623, subclass 11.
- II. Claims 12 and 13, drawn to an article, classified in class 106, subclass 124.4.
- III. Claims 16-20, drawn to a method of making a prosthesis, classified in class 600, subclass 36.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II or III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a cell growth substrate and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/014,087

Art Unit: 3738

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the prosthesis, as claimed, could be made by a materially different process of making such as the polypeptide growth factor could be associated with the tissue by coating the tissue without binding it thereto (claims 16-19), the polypeptide growth factor could be formed on the tissue substrate an amino acid at a time instead of as a whole polypeptide (claims 16-19), or the polypeptide growth factor could be associated with the substrate after the viable cells have been put in contact therewith (see claim 20 and note that the claims of Group I do not positively require the viable cells).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

Application/Control Number: 09/014,087 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Prebilic whose telephone number is (703) 308-2905. The examiner normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu, can be reached on (703) 308-2672. The fax phone number for this Technology Center is (703) 305-3580.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

> Paul Prebilic Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3738